

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/20/2001 09/908,977 Bernard Roques P07290US00/BAS 8051 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/15/2004 STITES & HARBISON PLLC CHISM, BILLY D 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 900 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 1654

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/908,977	ROQUES ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		B. Dell Chism	1654	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Preply	tion appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence addre	?SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2004.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□				
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dojected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment	i(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>29 <i>November 2001</i>.</u>	,	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/908,977

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-6 and 14-15, in the reply filed on 01 April 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 7-13 and 16-17 were not canceled and are withdrawn from consideration.

Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Page 2

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/908,977

Art Unit: 1654

3. Applicants' claim priority as a Continuation-in-Part to PCT/FR00/00112, however, there

is no reference to this document or any other priority document in a Cross-Reference Section as

is required.

Correction to the Specification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 3-4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claims 3-4 are rejected for depending from rejected claims.
- 7. Claim 15 is rejected for indefiniteness wherein it is unclear exactly what the '[D]iagnostic system' comprises, or what components would be or are necessary to complete the claimed invention. Although there is an intended use of the diagnostic system, this does not lend definitive weight as to required limitations regarding the complete invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/908,977

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-2, 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Godfrey et al. (EP 0 181 644, 21 May 1986). Godfrey et al. teaches compounds of the genus comprising compounds of General Formula I (claims 1 and 14), including many of the side reacting or protecting groups as are found in claims 2 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godfrey *et al.* (EP 0 181 644, 21 May 1986). Godfrey *et al.* suggests general formulas wherein the guidelines for the formulas suggest the compounds of present claim 6 (pages 1-3). Although Godfrey *et al.* does not specifically name the compounds, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made that the compounds could be made

Art Unit: 1654

under the defined limitations of the general formulas for the genus of compounds claimed (pages 1-3), based upon the overall beneficial teachings provided by Godrey et al.

Conclusions

No claims are allowed. All references cited herein are cited in Applicants' 1449 12. Information Disclosure Statement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Dell Chism whose telephone number is 571-272-0962. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

B. Dell Chism 14 June 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER